Effect of storage temperature on the keeping quality of commercially pasteurized milk
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To study the effect of temperature on the shelf-life, freshly pasteurized and unhomogenized whole milk from two dairy plants was stored at 7 and 25°C. Microbial, sensory, and pH changes were monitored during storage. Milk of 10^3 CFU/ml, as initial microbial count, showed evidence of spoilage between 5th and 6th day of storage at 7°C. When stored at 25°C, the shelf-life was only 20 to 24 hours. The microbial count at the time of spoilage exceeded 10^7 CFU/ml. Both brands of milk showed similar spoilage characteristics of putrid, bitter, fruity and rancid at 7°C and sour, and rancid defects at 25°C. Milk samples showed clotting on boiling 2 hours before evidence of spoilage at 25°C and simultaneously with spoilage at 7°C.
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INTRODUCTION

Pasteurized milk represents more than 80% of the milk processed by the Moroccan dairy plants (Anonymous, 1993). Beside a low bacteriological quality of raw milk, the dairy industry must face a dispersed retail market where handling practices are not always adequate. Available marketed retail packages for pasteurized milk are of half-liter capacity, and consequently, are expected to be used within 1 to 2 days after processing.

However, there have been sometimes evidence of flavor spoilage or clotting upon boiling before use even within this period of 1 to 2 days. This is mainly due to the fact that some store retailers and/or consumers are not aware of the importance of refrigeration on the keeping quality of pasteurized milk. This problem of storage at room temperature is very critical during the hot season where ambient temperature reach 30 to 40°C in most parts of the country.

Shelf-life of pasteurized milk is affected by the number of days held raw (Jansen et al., 1982a), pasteurization, and storage conditions (Finlèy et al., 1969). In addition, microbial activity is closely related to pasteurized milk spoilage (Jansenet al., 1982b; Hankinet al., 1977; Bishop et al., 1984), and the nature of its microflora is dependent on the storage temperature (Griffiths & Phillips, 1988). Storage temperatures below 7°C are one of the key factors to extend the shelf-life of pasteurized milk (Bernard, 1974).

According to Bernard (1974) and Jansen et al. (1982a), every 2.77°C (5°F) rise in temperature cuts shelf-life in half. Hankinet al. (1977) reported that the keeping quality of commercially filled cartons stored at 1.7, 5.6, and 10°C remained organoleptically acceptable on the average 17.5, 12.1, and 6.9 days, respectively. Shipeet al. (1981) reported a quality keeping period of 13 days for commercial milk at 7°C.

Up to now, there is no information available on the relationship between storage temperature and the keeping quality of pasteurized milk produced in Morocco. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of storage temperature on the shelf-life of Moroccan pasteurized milk.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1. Milk Samples

Two pasteurized milk brands (3.5% fat, unhomogenized) in half liter containers commercially available in the city of Rabat, were used. For one milk brand (brand A) samples were obtained immediately after pasteurization at the processing plant and kept in coolers until arrival at the laboratory, approximately 90 min. after collection. For the other milk brand (brand B), samples were taken from a supermarket immediately after truck delivery and kept cool until arrival at the laboratory in approximately 30 min. Milk samples were obtained in sufficient quantities to allow for separate triplicate packages to be used at different stages of analysis. Half of the samples from each brand were kept in a refrigerator at 7 ± 1°C, and the other half in an incubator at 25 ± 1°C. At different time intervals up to 24-30 hours for samples at 25°C and 6-7 days for refrigerated samples, three units of each brand were randomly drawn for analysis. The experiment was performed twice during a two months period of study.

2. Microbial Enumeration

• Total Aerobic Flora

Petrifilm SM plates (3M) were inoculated. Plates were incubated in a horizontal position with the clear side up in stacks not exceeding 10 units at 32°C for 48 hours (Speck, 1976).

• Coliforms

Petrifilm VRB plates were used for coliforms enumeration. Plates were incubated at 32°C for 24 hours. Coliform colonies appeared red and associated with entrapped gas (Speck, 1976).

3. pH determination

The pH of milk samples was determined using a combination electrode (Orion 91-05) attached to a pH-meter, model 301 (Orion Research).

4. Clotting on boiling (Boiling test)

Test tubes containing 2 ml of milk were placed in a boiling water bath for 5 min. Tubes were then checked for signs of coagulation (Alais, 1985).
5. Sensory evaluation

The sensory evaluation was conducted using a pretrained panel which consisted of ten milk drinkers among students and laboratory staff. Samples for tasting were coded and served at room temperature. For this purpose, samples kept at 7°C were withdrawn from storage and allowed to equilibrate at room temperature 1 hour before serving. The panelists were asked to rate the acceptability of milk samples on a scale of 1 to 10 (10 = no criticism). They were also invited to comment on the off-flavors of milk samples graded “5” or below.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 1 and 2 show the microbial increase in pasteurized milk samples stored at 7 and 25°C, respectively.

At 7°C, the microbial growth in pasteurized milk samples was very low. From $10^2$ to $10^3$ CFU/ml at day 0, the total aerobic flora reached $10^5$ to $10^6$ CFU/ml at day 5 of milk storage. At day 7, the microbial count was between $10^7$ and $10^8$ CFU/ml. When stored at 25°C, the milk samples supported a relatively rapid microbial growth. In fact, the microbial population reached $10^7$-$10^8$ CFU/ml counts after a storage time of only 20-24 hours.

A similar microbial growth rate was seen for both brands of pasteurized milk either at 7°C or at 25°C. These results show the great influence of storage temperature on the relative growth of the microbial populations initially present in milk. Coliforms were undetectable (less than 10 CFU/ml) in both milk brands at day 0.

The pH values of milk sampled at different intervals during storage at 7 and 25°C are shown in Figure 3.

At 7°C, both brands showed only a slight change in pH after 7 days of storage. However, at 25°C the pH decrease was more pronounced for brand A milk samples than for brand B milk at time of spoilage. It seems that for brand B milk, even for storage at 25°C, the quality deterioration was related to a proteolytic activity in contrast to the quality deterioration of brand A milk which was related to a lactic bacteria activity.

The pH values of milk sampled at different intervals during storage at 7 and 25°C are shown in Figure 3.

The influence of storage time on the sensory scores obtained for milk samples stored at 7°C is illustrated in Figure 4.

The average acceptability scores decreased slightly during the early days of milk storage. However, after 5 days at 7°C, flavor deteriorated rapidly and milk samples were judged unacceptable after 5 and 6 days for brand B and A, respectively. Milk samples kept at 25°C were rejected by the panel after 20 to 24 hours. Milk defects described by judges included acid, sour, and rancid for samples kept at 25°C and bitter, rancid, and slight acid for samples at 7°C. Some brand B samples kept at 7°C were described as fruity and putrid at the time of spoilage. Cooked flavor and flat defects were also mentioned by some panelists at the early stage of storage, which may partially explain the downgrading of samples at that moment.
The observed differences in defects in milks stored at the two temperatures may be due to differences in the nature of the flora with storage temperature. Griffiths & Phillips (1988) found that at refrigeration temperatures spoilage was mainly due to the growth of Pseudomonas spp. while at storage temperatures above 10°C, Enterobacteriaceae and Gram-positive bacteria assumed greater importance in the spoilage of pasteurized milk.

The shelf-life values found at 7°C are shorter when compared to values reported in developed countries. For pasteurized milk stored at 7°C, Shipe et al. (1981) reported an average keeping quality of 13 days while Martins et al. (1982) reported 9, 12-13, and 15-17 days as values for a short, medium, and long shelf-life.

The relatively short shelf-life found at 7°C for Moroccan milk might be due to the poor bacteriological quality of raw milk delivered to dairy plants. It should be noted that the present study was done during the hot season (June-July) when the raw milk is characterized by high bacterial counts (Amhouri, 1993).

Hamama & El Mouktafi (1990) reported average microbial counts of $6.10^6$ and $3.3.10^7$ CFU/ml for the pooled raw milk received over a study period of 8 months by dairy plants A and B, respectively. This is probably the reason for using severe pasteurization conditions by Moroccan dairy plants in order to produce a pasteurized milk with lower counts.

However, such reduction of microbial population is not enough to have a product with good keeping quality. Gillis & Custer (1982) found that even repasteurization did not significantly extend the shelf-life beyond that of the same milk that was not repasteurized. Raw milk with counts of $10^6$ to $5.10^6$ CFU/ml developed objectionable flavors after its pasteurization and subsequent storage (Patel & Blankenagel, 1972; Muir et al., 1986).

The relationship between total aerobic flora and acceptability scores for milk samples stored at 7°C is shown in Figure 5.

For both milk brands, rejection of samples by the panel occurred when microbial counts exceeded $10^7$ CFU/ml. Similar results were obtained with samples kept at 25°C. These results support the
use of the time taken for the bacterial count in the product to reach log 7.5 as a shelf-life of pasteurized milk (Griffiths & Phillips, 1988).

It appears also from Figure 5 that for similar microbial counts, samples of brand B were graded lower than brand A. This may be due to a difference in the nature of the flora and/or their biochemical activities, which can explain some differences in defects at spoilage between samples of the two brands at 7°C.

Some consumers boil pasteurized milk before use and it is discarded when clotted after heating. Milk samples stored in this study at 7°C clotted when using the boiling test on the same day of sample rejection by the panel. For samples kept at 25°C, clotting on boiling occurred 2 hours before sample rejection by the panelists.

In conclusion, shelf-life of unhomogenized pasteurized milk samples analyzed was 5 to 6 days at 7°C and only 20 to 24 hours at 25°C. This indicates the importance of storage at low temperature of pasteurized milk at the store level as well as at home on its keeping quality.
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